

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 1 and 15 through 20 are pending, with Claims 1, 19, and 20 being independent. Claims 1, 19, and 20 have been amended.

Claims 1 and 15 through 20 yet again were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, for lack of written description. The Official Action states that the expression "wherein said control means can display the first image, the second image, and the third image, respectively, in different sizes" is not supported. All rejections and statements are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been obviated by the amendment of the claims in a manner earnestly believed to avoid the grounds of rejection, viz, the objected-to expression has been removed from the claims, and replaced with a recitation regarding resolution conversion that Applicant respectfully submits is supported by the disclosure at, e.g., page 21, lines 11 through 20.

Claims 1, 15 through 17, 19, and 20 again were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent No. 6,791,624 B1 (Suga), U.S. Patent No. 6,449,018 B1 (Yokoyama), and U.S. Patent No. 6,492,982 B1 (Matsuzaki, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 19, and 20 variously recite, *inter alia*, that the resolution converting means or step converts an image to which a process by the image quality adjustment process means or step has been executed, and the image quality adjustment process means or step executes the process to the image to which the conversion by the resolution converting means or step is to be executed.

However, Applicant respectfully submits that none of Suga, Yokoyama, and Matsuzaki, et al., even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 1, 19, and 20.

It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such claimed features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/  
Daniel S. Glueck  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200  
DSG/cmg

DC\_MAIN 268560v1